MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Respondent Name

Trenton D. Weeks, D.C.

Property & Casualty Insurance Company of Hartford

MFDR Tracking Number

Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-16-1835-01

Box Number 47

MFDR Date Received

March 3, 2016

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "DWC-TWCC affords the injured employees' assignment of MMI and Impairment by certified doctor. This evaluation and report does not in any way constitute treatment of the injured worker and is not subject to preauthorization requirements in accordance with Labor *Code §413.014* and is subject to reimbursement with 28 Texas Administrative Code *§134.204* (j)(2)."

Amount in Dispute: \$350.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Services were denied for authorization. There is no indication from the treating doctor from his visit of 2/11/15 that the patient was at or nearing MMI and there is no indication that this referral for MMI and IR were being issued."

Response Submitted by: The Hartford

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
March 31, 2015	Referral Doctor Examination to Determine Maximum Medical Improvement and Impairment Rating	\$350.00	\$350.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204 sets out the fee guidelines for division-specific services.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.600 sets out the procedures for pre-authorization of services.
- 4. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - 197 Payment denied/reduced for absence of precertification/authorization.

• APPR – Reimbursement is being withheld as the treating doctor and/or services rendered were not approved based upon handler review.

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Are the insurance carrier's reasons for denial of payment supported?
- 2. What is the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) for the disputed service?
- 3. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement for the disputed service?

Findings

 The insurance carrier denied disputed services with claim adjustment reason codes 197 – "PAYMENT DENIED/REDUCED FOR ABSENCE OF PRECERTIFICATION/AUTHORIZATION," and APPR – "REIMBURSEMENT IS BEING WITHHELD AS THE TREATING DOCTOR AND/OR SERVICES RENDERED WERE NOT APPROVED BASED UPON HANDLER REVIEW."

Review of the submitted documentation finds that the dispute involves a referral doctor's examination to determine maximum medical improvement (MMI) and impairment rating. The division finds that these services are not subject to preauthorization in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.600. The insurance carrier's denial reason is not supported. The disputed services will therefore be reviewed per applicable Division rules and fee guidelines.

2. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(j)(2)(A),

If the examining doctor, other than the treating doctor, determines MMI has not been reached, the MMI evaluation portion of the examination shall be billed and reimbursed in accordance with paragraph (3) of this subsection. Modifier "NM" shall be added.

Paragraph (3) states, "The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an MMI evaluation... (C) An examining doctor, other than the treating doctor, shall bill using CPT Code 99456. Reimbursement shall be \$350." The submitted documentation supports that the requestor performed an evaluation of MMI and found that the injured employee was not at MMI. Therefore, the correct MAR for this examination is \$350.00.

3. The total MAR for the disputed service is \$350.00. The insurance carrier paid \$0.00. A reimbursement of \$350.00 is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$350.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services in dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$350.00 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code \$134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

	Laurie Garnes	April 14, 2016	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.